

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/963,360	09/24/2001		Gerald J. Ware	WAR1394.07A	8250
8156	7590	02/28/2005		EXAMINER	
JOHN P. O'	BANION		YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI		
O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP 400 CAPITOL MALL SUITE 1550				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814				1761	

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•				
	09/963,360	WARE, GERALD	J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George C. Yeung	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of titory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mill, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>16 December 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-33,35-39 and 59-61</u> is/are 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,21,22,24-27,29,30,40-42,</u> 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objecti	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1	「O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
·	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	¬	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC 	O-152)				

TR.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,360

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejection -35 USC § 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 19, 21, 22, 24-27, 29, 30, 40-42, 44-51, 53-57 and 62-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for the limitation "particulate" recited in claims 19, 25, 30, 40, 49 and 62. No new matter can properly be introduced into the specification.

Contrary to applicant's contention, Figures 4 and 5 of the drawings, page 7 (lines 8-10) and page 14 (lines 2-19) of the instant specification fail to disclose the "particulate" nature of the support substrate. Note that Merriam-Webster Collegiate Dictionary, Tenth Edition, defines particulate as "minute separate particles." In fact, the specification at page 14, lines 10-19, calls for spheres of ¾ of an inch which are hardly minute.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,360

Art Unit: 1761

## Claim Rejection -35 USC § 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH

Claims 44-46, 53, 55 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the following reasons:

It is not clear how the particulate support substrate can be in the form of a plurality of spheres as recited in claims 44, 53 and 63. Note that particulate substrate, i.e., minute or very small particles, has no form or shape.

## Claim Rejection -35 USC§ 103

Claims 19, 21, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juarez et al (WO 96/35340) in view of Ware (U.S. Patent 5,522,156). Juarez et al show the claimed structure set forth in claims 19, 21, 22 and 24 with the exception of the support substrate. It would have been obvious to provide the conveyor of Juarez et al with a support substrate in the form of a plurality of spheres during the drying operation since Ware teaches that it is old to employ a plurality of spheres to form a drying bed which provides for even application of particulate food products to be dried over the drying bed, thereby facilitating faster drying of the products.

Claims 25-27, 29, 30 40-42, 44-51, 53-58 and 62-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott (U.S. Patent 4,419,834) in view of Juarez et al (WO 96/35340) and Ware (U.S. Patent 5,522,156). Scott shows the

Application/Control Number: 09/963,360

Art Unit: 1761

claimed structure set forth in claims 25, 30, 40, 49, 58 and 62 with the exception of the ultrasound source and the support substrate in the form of a plurality of spheres. Juarez et al show the conventional expedient of guiding a hot gas stream over solid food products to be dehydrated and simultaneously subjecting the products to ultrasonic waves which expel a small amount of water towards the surface of the products where this water is evaporated by the hot gas stream. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Scott such that ultrasonic waves are generated within the drying zones (26, 28, 30) as per the teaching of Juarez et al in order to expel a small amount of water towards the surface of the products, thereby allowing for more efficient drying by the circulating hot gas. It would also have been obvious to provide the conveyor of Scott with a plurality of spheres during the drying operation since Ware teaches that it is old to employ a plurality of spheres to form a drying bed which provides for even application of particulate food products to be dried over the drying bed, thereby facilitating faster drying of the products. It is not deemed that the features variously recited in the dependent claims would define unobvious subject matter over the teachings of Scott, Juarez et al and Ware in the absence of any new or unexpected results. The features recited in the dependent claims are considered to be obvious matters of routine optimization or structural design depending upon the type, size and quantity of the food products to be dehydrated.

Art Unit: 1761

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-33, 35-39 and 59-61 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1412. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G. Yeung/af February 24, 2005

GEORGE C. YEUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER